

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION  
International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<b>(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup> :</b> <b>H04B 7/06</b>	<b>A2</b>	<b>(11) International Publication Number:</b> <b>WO 99/23766</b> <b>(43) International Publication Date:</b> 14 May 1999 (14.05.99)
<b>(21) International Application Number:</b> PCT/US98/21959 <b>(22) International Filing Date:</b> 16 October 1998 (16.10.98)  <b>(30) Priority Data:</b> 60/063,794 31 October 1997 (31.10.97) US 08/167,422 6 October 1998 (06.10.98) US  <b>(71) Applicant:</b> AT & T WIRELESS SERVICES, INC. [US/US]; 5000 Carillon Point, Kirkland, WA 98033 (US).  <b>(72) Inventor:</b> ALAMOUTI, Siavash; 11415 Juanita Drive N.E., Kirkland, WA 98034 (US).  <b>(74) Agents:</b> DWORETSKY, Samuel, H. et al.; AT & T Corp., P.O. Box 4110, Middletown, NJ 07748 (US).		<b>(81) Designated States:</b> CA, JP, MX, European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).  <b>Published</b> <i>Without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report.</i>
<b>(54) Title:</b> LOW COMPLEXITY MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD DETECTION OF CONCATENATED SPACE CODES FOR WIRELESS APPLICATIONS  <b>(57) Abstract</b>  Good transmission characteristics are achieved in the presence of fading with a transmitter that employs a trellis coder followed by a block coder. Correspondingly, the receiver comprises a Viterbi decoder followed by a block decoder. Advantageously, the block coder and decoder employ time-space diversity coding which, illustratively, employs two transmitter antennas and one receiver antenna.		

*FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY*

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TC	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
- BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

5

**Low Complexity Maximum Likelihood Detection Of Concatenated  
Space Codes For Wireless Applications**

10    **Reference to Related Applications**

          This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application No.  
60/063,794, filed October 31, 1997.

**Background of the Invention**

15           This invention relates to wireless communication and, more particularly, to  
techniques for effective wireless communication in the presence of fading and other  
degradations.

          The most effective technique for mitigating multipath fading in a wireless  
radio channel is to cancel the effect of fading at the transmitter by controlling the  
20   transmitter's power. That is, if the channel conditions are known at the transmitter  
(on one side of the link), then the transmitter can pre-distort the signal to overcome  
the effect of the channel at the receiver (on the other side). However, there are two  
fundamental problems with this approach. The first problem is the transmitter's  
dynamic range. For the transmitter to overcome an  $x$  dB fade, it must increase its  
25   power by  $x$  dB which, in most cases, is not practical because of radiation power  
limitations, and the size and cost of amplifiers. The second problem is that the  
transmitter does not have any knowledge of the channel as seen by the receiver  
(except for time division duplex systems, where the transmitter receives power from  
a known other transmitter over the same channel). Therefore, if one wants to control  
30   a transmitter based on channel characteristics, channel information has to be sent  
from the receiver to the transmitter, which results in throughput degradation and  
added complexity to both the transmitter and the receiver.

5           Other effective techniques are time and frequency diversity. Using time interleaving together with coding can provide diversity improvement. The same holds for frequency hopping and spread spectrum. However, time interleaving results in unnecessarily large delays when the channel is slowly varying. Equivalently, frequency diversity techniques are ineffective when the coherence  
10   bandwidth of the channel is large (small delay spread).

          It is well known that in most scattering environments antenna diversity is the most practical and effective technique for reducing the effect of multipath fading. The classical approach to antenna diversity is to use multiple antennas at the receiver and perform combining (or selection) to improve the quality of the received signal.

15           The major problem with using the receiver diversity approach in current wireless communication systems, such as IS-136 and GSM, is the cost, size and power consumption constraints of the receivers. For obvious reasons, small size, weight and cost are paramount. The addition of multiple antennas and RF chains (or selection and switching circuits) in receivers is presently not be feasible. As a result,  
20   diversity techniques have often been applied only to improve the up-link (receiver to base) transmission quality with multiple antennas (and receivers) at the base station. Since a base station often serves thousands of receivers, it is more economical to add equipment to base stations rather than the receivers

          Recently, some interesting approaches for transmitter diversity have been  
25   suggested. A delay diversity scheme was proposed by A. Wittneben in "Base Station Modulation Diversity for Digital SIMULCAST," Proceeding of the 1991 IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC 41st), PP. 848-853, May 1991, and in "A New Bandwidth Efficient Transmit Antenna Modulation Diversity Scheme For Linear Digital Modulation," in Proceeding of the 1993 IEEE International  
30   Conference on Communications (IICC '93), PP. 1630-1634, May 1993. The proposal is for a base station to transmit a sequence of symbols through one antenna, and the same sequence of symbols –but delayed – through another antenna.

          U.S. patent 5,479,448, issued to Nambirajan Seshadri on December 26, 1995, discloses a similar arrangement where a sequence of codes is transmitted  
35   through two antennas. The sequence of codes is routed through a cycling switch that directs each code to the various antennas, in succession. Since copies of the same

5 symbol are transmitted through multiple antennas at different times, both space and time diversity are achieved. A maximum likelihood sequence estimator (MLSE) or a minimum mean squared error (MMSE) equalizer is then used to resolve multipath distortion and provide diversity gain. See also N. Seshadri, J.H. Winters, "Two Signaling Schemes for Improving the Error Performance of FDD Transmission Systems Using Transmitter Antenna Diversity," *Proceeding of the 1993 IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference* (VTC 43rd), pp. 508-511, May 1993; and J. H. Winters, "The Diversity Gain of Transmit Diversity in Wireless Systems with Rayleigh Fading," *Proceeding of the 1994 ICC/SUPERCOMM*, New Orleans, Vol. 2, PP. 1121-1125, May 1994.

15 Still another interesting approach is disclosed by Tarokh, Seshadri, Calderbank and Naguib in U.S. application, serial number 08/847635, filed April 25, 1997 (based on a provisional application filed November 7, 1996), where symbols are encoded according to the antennas through which they are simultaneously transmitted, and are decoded using a maximum likelihood decoder. More specifically, the process at the transmitter handles the information in blocks of  $M_1$  bits, where  $M_1$  is a multiple of  $M_2$ , i.e.,  $M_1 = k \cdot M_2$ . It converts each successive group of  $M_2$  bits into information symbols (generating thereby  $k$  information symbols), encodes each sequence of  $k$  information symbols into  $n$  channel codes (developing thereby a group of  $n$  channel codes for each sequence of  $k$  information symbols), and applies each code of a group of codes to a different antenna.

25 Yet another approach is disclosed by Alamouti and Tarokh in U.S. application, serial number 09/074,224, filed May 5, 1998, and titled "Transmitter Diversity Technique for Wireless Communications" where symbols are encoded using only negations and conjugations, and transmitted in a manner that employs channel diversity.

30 Still another approach is disclosed by the last-mentioned inventors in a US application filed July 14, 1998, based on provisional application 60/052,689 filed July 17, 1997, titled "Combined Array Processing and Space-Time Coding" where symbols are divided into groups, where each group is transmitted over a separate group of antennas and is encoded with a group code  $C$  that is a member of a product code.

5

### Summary

An advance in the art is realized with a transmitter that employs a trellis coder followed by a block coder. Correspondingly, the receiver comprises a Viterbi decoder followed by a block decoder. Advantageously, the block coder and decoder  
10 employ time-space diversity coding which, illustratively, employs two transmitter antennas and one receiver antenna.

### Brief Description of the Drawings

FIG. 1 presents a block diagram of an embodiment in conformance with the  
15 principles of this invention.

### Detail Description

FIG. 1 presents a block diagram of an arrangement comporting with the principles of this invention. It comprises a trellis code modulation (TCM) encoder  
20 10 followed by a two-branch space block encoder 20. The output is applied to antenna circuitry 30, which feeds antenna 31, and antenna 32. FIG. 1 shows only two antennas, but this is merely illustrative. Arrangements can be had with a larger number of antennas, and it should be understood that the principles disclosed herein apply with equal advantage to such arrangements.

25 TCM encoder 10 generates complex numbers that represent constellation symbols, and block encoder 20 encodes (adjacent) pairs of symbols in the manner described in the aforementioned 09/074,224 application. That is, symbols  $s_0$  and  $s_1$ , forming a pair, are sent to antenna 31 and antenna 32, respectively, and in the following time period symbols  $-s_1^*$  and  $s_0^*$  are sent to antennas 31 and 32,  
30 respectively. Thereafter, symbols  $s_2$  and  $s_3$  are sent to antenna 31 and 32, respectively, etc. Thus, encoder 20 creates channel diversity that results from

5 signals traversing from the transmitter to the receiver at different times and over different channels.

The signals transmitted by antennas 31 and 32 are received by a receiver after traversing the airlink and suffering a multiplicative distortion and additive noise. Hence, the received signals at the two consecutive time intervals during  
10 which the signals  $s_0$ ,  $s_1$ ,  $-s_1^*$ , and  $s_0^*$  are sent correspond to:

$$r_0(t) = h_0 s_0 + h_1 s_1 + n_0, \quad (1)$$

and  $r_1(t) = h_1 s_0^* - h_0 s_1^* + n_1,$   
15 (2)

where  $h_0$  represents the channel from antenna 31,  $h_1$  represents the channel from antenna 32,  $n_0$  is the received noise at the first time interval, and  $n_1$  is the received noise at the second time interval.

The receiver comprises a receive antenna 40, a two-branch space block  
20 combiner 50, and a Viterbi decoder 60. The receiver also includes a channel estimator; but since that is perfectly conventional and does not form a part of the invention, FIG. 1 does not explicitly show it. The following assumes that the receiver possesses  $\tilde{h}_0$  and  $\tilde{h}_1$ , which are estimates of  $h_0$  and  $h_1$ , respectively. Thus, the received signals at the first and second time intervals are combined in element 50  
25 to form signals

$$\tilde{s}_0 = \tilde{h}_0^* r_0 + \tilde{h}_1 r_1^* \quad (3)$$

and  $\tilde{s}_1 = \tilde{h}_1^* r_0 - \tilde{h}_0 r_1^*,$   
(4)

30 and those signals are applied to Viterbi decoder 60.

The Viterbi decoder builds the following metric for the hypothesized branch symbol  $s_i$  corresponding to the first transmitted symbol  $s_0$ :

5

$$M(s_0, s_i) = d^2[\tilde{s}_0, (|\tilde{h}_0|^2 + |\tilde{h}_1|^2)s_i].$$

(5)

Similarly, the Viterbi decoder builds the following metric for the hypothesized branch symbol  $s_i$  corresponding to the first transmitted symbol  $s_1$ :

$$M(s_1, s_i) = d^2[\tilde{s}_1, (|\tilde{h}_0|^2 + |\tilde{h}_1|^2)s_i].$$

10

(6)

(Additional metrics are similarly constructed in arrangements that employ a larger number of antennas and a correspondingly larger constellation of signals transmitted at any one time.) If Trellis encoder 10 is a multiple TCM encoder, then the Viterbi decoder builds the following metric:

15

$$M[(s_0, s_1), (s_i, s_j)] = M(s_0, s_i) + M(s_1, s_j).$$

(7)

or equivalently,

$$M[(s_0, s_1), (s_i, s_j)] = d^2(r_0, \tilde{h}_0 s_i + \tilde{h}_1 s_j) + d^2(r_1, \tilde{h}_1 s_i^* - \tilde{h}_0 s_j^*).$$

(8)

20 The Viterbi decoder outputs estimates of the transmitted sequence of signals.

The above presented an illustrative embodiment. However, it should be understood that various modifications and alternations might be made by a skilled artisan without departing from the spirit and scope of this invention.



5    We claim:

1. A transmitter comprising:  
a trellis encoder, and  
a block encoder responsive to said trellis encoder and adapted to feed a  
10    plurality of antennas.
2. The transmitter of claim 1 further comprising said plurality of antennas.
3. The transmitter of claim 1 where said trellis encoder is a multiple trellis  
15    code modulation encoder.
4. The transmitter of claim 1 where the block encoder is a multi--branch  
block encoder.
- 20       5. The transmitter of claim 1 where the block encoder is a space-time block  
encoder.
6. The transmitter of claim 1 where said block encoder encodes sequences of  
consecutive symbols developed by said trellis encoder.
- 25       7. A receiver comprising:  
a receiving block combiner, and  
a Viterbi decoder responsive to output signals of said block decoder.
- 30       8. The receiver of claim 7 where said combiner combines a frame of  
received symbols, where the frame consists of  $n$  time slots and in each time slot  
concurrently provides  $m$  symbols to said combiner.
9. The receiver of claim 8 where  $n=m$ .
- 35       10. The receiver of claim 9 where  $n=m=2$ .

5

11. The receiver of claim 8 where said combiner develops n signals that represent estimates of signals transmitted by a transmitter.

12. The receiver of claim 7 where said Viterbi decoder generates a separate  
10 metric for soft decision of a transmitted symbol.

13. The receiver of claims 7 where the Viterbi decoder is a multiple trellis code modulation decoder 1

15 14. The receiver of claim 13 where said Viterbi decoder develops the metric  

$$M[(s_0, s_1), (s_i, s_j)] = d^2(r_0, \tilde{h}_0 s_i + \tilde{h}_1 s_j) + d^2(r_1, \tilde{h}_1 s_i - \tilde{h}_0 s_j)$$
, where  $s_i$  is a hypothesized signal at a first time interval,  $s_j$  is a hypothesized signal at a second time interval,  $s_0$  is a transmitted signal at the first time interval, where  $s_1$  is a transmitted signal at the second time interval,  $\tilde{h}_0$  is an estimate of channel characteristics between a  
 20 transmitting antenna that transmits signal  $s_0$  and a receiving antenna of said receiver, and  $\tilde{h}_1$  is an estimate of channel characteristics between a transmitting antenna that transmits signal  $s_1$  and said receiving antenna of said receiver.

15. The receiver of claim 7 where said Viterbi decoder develops the metric  
 25  $M(s_0, s_i) = d^2[\tilde{s}_0, (|\tilde{h}_0|^2 + |\tilde{h}_1|^2)s_i]$  to recover the symbol  $s_0$ , and the metric  
 $M(s_1, s_i) = d^2[\tilde{s}_1, (|\tilde{h}_0|^2 + |\tilde{h}_1|^2)s_i]$  to recover the symbol  $s_1$ , where  $s_i$  is a hypothesized signal at a first time interval,  $s_j$  is a hypothesized signal at a second time interval,  $s_0$  is a transmitted signal at the first time interval, where  $s_1$  is a transmitted signal at the second time interval,  $\tilde{h}_0$  is an estimate of channel  
 30 characteristics between a transmitting antenna that transmits signal  $s_0$  and a receiving antenna of said receiver, and  $\tilde{h}_1$  is an estimate of channel characteristics

5 between a transmitting antenna that transmits signal  $s_i$  and said receiving antenna of said receiver.

16. The receiver of claim 7 said Viterbi decoder develops the metric

$M[(s_0, s_i), (s_i, s_j)] = M(s_0, s_i) + M(s_i, s_j)$ , where  $M(s_0, s_i) = d^2[\tilde{s}_0, (|\tilde{h}_0|^2 + |\tilde{h}_1|^2)s_i]$ ,  
 10  $M(s_i, s_j) = d^2[\tilde{s}_i, (|\tilde{h}_0|^2 + |\tilde{h}_1|^2)s_j]$ ,  $s_i$  is a hypothesized signal at a first time interval,  $s_j$  is a hypothesized signal at a second time interval,  $s_0$  is a transmitted signal at the first time interval, where  $s_i$  is a transmitted signal at the second time interval,  $\tilde{h}_0$  is an estimate of channel characteristics between a transmitting antenna that transmits signal  $s_0$  and a receiving antenna of said receiver,  $\tilde{h}_1$  is an estimate of channel  
 15 characteristics between a transmitting antenna that transmits signal  $s_i$  and said receiving antenna of said receiver,  $\tilde{s}_0$  is one signal developed by said combiner, and  $\tilde{s}_i$  is another signal developed by said combiner.

17. The receiver of claim 7 where the combiner creates signals

20  $\tilde{s}_0 = \tilde{h}_0^* r_0 + \tilde{h}_1 r_1^*$  and  $\tilde{s}_i = \tilde{h}_1^* r_0 - \tilde{h}_1 r_1^*$ , where  $r_0$  is a received signal at one time interval,  $r_1$  is a received signal at another time interval,  $\tilde{h}_0$  is an estimate of channel characteristics between a transmitting antenna that transmits signal  $s_0$  and a receiving antenna of said receiver, and  $\tilde{h}_1$  is an estimate of channel characteristics between a transmitting antenna that transmits signal  $s_i$  and said receiving antenna of  
 25 said receiver.

1/1

FIG. 1

